Impact of Promotional Mix Strategies adopted by Pharmaceutical Companies on Consumer Buying Behavior with reference to Pune City

Dr. Aparna Jain Author Affiliation: Main Author Assistant Director, S K Somaiya College, Somaiya Vidyavihar University, Mumbai. Email- <u>aparna.jain@somaiya.edu</u>

ABSTRACT:

Purpose

This paper aims to study the perception of end users i.e., the buyers/consumers who purchase over the counter medicines when they see the advertisement either on television or on any such platforms. When a particular consumer sees the advertisement them, he makes a purchase decision when it comes to buy such products or medicines at that time which factors effects on his purchase decision if the marketers can know those factors it can help them in redesigning and restructuring their marketing strategies.

Design. Methodology, Approach -Both primary and secondary research methods were employed. Data was collected from 200 participants; questions were Likert scale based on the parameters of consumers changes in likes \dislikes, their purchase intentions and the impact of promotion mix elements. In this study, the researcher had set some questions, which were asked, to the consumers to fill, which was then analyzed to know the actual impact. The respondents were from different parts of Pune, Maharashtra, India. It was observed that the influence of promotional mix elements on consumer's changes, their purchase intention of over-the-counter drugs also changes significantly. Promotional mix elements -sales promotion, Internet Marketing, and Publicity are significantly related to the education of the consumers.

Key words: Consumer, Promotion, over the counter drugs and Promotional Strategy etc

Introduction:

The Indian Pharmaceutical industry has been an important contributor to growth to the global outcome when it come to the export of the healthcare products. The Indian pharmaceutical industry is globally respected and is one of the most successful industries in India. It has been contribution hugely to India's healthcare outcomes and the overall economics growths and development.

According to a report published by McKincey and Company, Indian Pharmaceuticals 2020, the Indian pharmaceutical industry, and Indian pharma market is expected to grow leading to the affordability of healthcare goods for all the sectors of the society.

Literature Review:

Generally, we classify the medicines into generic drugs and prescribed drugs (Chiu, 2015). The main reason for the classification is based on whether a consumer buys the medicine, or the doctor gives a prescription of the medicine. In such case even if the consumer is a buyer or he/she is the end user who also pays for the medicine, he/she is not the decision maker who decides which medicine to buy from the market. Criterion for a division is whether a product is marketed to the medical profession or directly to the consumers (Macro, 2016). The findings of this study were helpful to understand sales promotion techniques that significantly influence consumer's impulse buying behavior. The researcher the Price discount, free samples, Buy-one Get-one free, found it and Loyalty program were used as sales promotion tools to check its impact on impulse buying behavior (Lemont, 2014). Buy 1 get 1 free and loyalty programs were having the highest impact on consumer's impulse buying behavior which is generally observed in the buying process of over-the-counter drugs (Weerathunga and Pathmini, 2015).

Practical Implications -Need of Study-

Healthcare products promoted trough the traders (medical shops) for over-the-counter drugs, some products are promoted through the medical professionals (doctors) where the buyer need the prescription from the authorized doctor. In that case, the promotional tactics and efforts are targeting to either to the trader or a medical professional but there are some products such as over

the counter drugs the promotion has to be done by targeting to the end buyer or a consumer. There were no such studies found which studies the impact of Promotional Mix Strategies adopted by Pharmaceutical Companies on Consumer Buying Behavior with reference to Pune City.

Objectives:

- 1. To find the most preferred promotional mix element by the consumer with respect to medicines and over the counter drugs.
- 2. To investigate the factors affecting consumer's perceptions of over-the-counter drugs
- To study the effect of promotional activities of pharmaceutical companies on consumers' perception
- 4. To examine the perceived importance by the consumers of the promotion of prescribed drugs.

Hypotheses:

H0: The buying intention of over-the-counter drugs and promotional mix elements are not significantly related.

H1: The buying intention of over-the-counter drugs and promotional mix elements are significantly related.

Data Collection instrument:

The instrument to collect the primary data from the respondents was the structured questionnaire.

Sampling frames

400 samples (assuming infinite number of consumers in entire Pune region, 95% confidence interval and 5% error)

Sampling Method: Non-probabilistic convenient sampling

Data Analysis tools

Descriptive statistics, one-way ANOVA (using SPSS), the data is parametric on ordinal scale which means the order in between the variable was not fixed. In the statistical analysis of the data, a one-way ANOVA test was used for statistical analysis. This test is used to compare the means of two or more samples. This test is used only for numerical data, or data based on the responses collected.

Descriptive Statistics- Perceived importance of promotion mix element.

The table given below shows the perceived importance of pharmaceutical elements and their descriptive statistics. This table was also used to test the hypothesis H₁-Buying intention of OTC drugs and perceived importance of promotional mix elements is significantly related.

| Promotion | | | | | Std. |
|--------------------|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|
| mix element | Ν | Min | Max | Mean | Deviation |
| Advertisement | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.7950 | 1.05154 |
| Direct marketing | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.7150 | 1.01308 |
| Sales promotion | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.8250 | 1.00094 |
| Internet marketing | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.8850 | 1.09991 |
| Publicity | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.0450 | 1.05867 |
| Overall Mean | | | | 3.8871 | |
| | | | | | |

Table: Perceived importance of promotion mix element

From the table, it is observed that Publicity is the most important promotion mix among all in consumers' perception. The overall mean of 3.8 on 5-point scale indicated that all the promotional mix elements are 78.00% important in consumers' perception.

Descriptive Statistics- Factors affecting buying decision of over the counter medicine

The table given below shows different factors which consumer consider while buying over the counter drugs. This question was asked to know and understand the factors which affect the selection and purchase behaviour of the respondents.

| Factors considered while making a | | | | | |
|--|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|
| purchase decision of | | | | | Std. |
| over-the-counter drugs | Ν | Min | Max | Mean | Deviation |
| Family's and friends' opinion and recommendation | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.5925 | 1.09290 |
| Pharmacist's opinion and recommendation | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.0700 | .88717 |
| Product brand or producer | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.7100 | 1.01166 |
| Pharmaceutical company's country of origin | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.4200 | 1.16059 |
| Product advertisement | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.4325 | 1.12410 |
| Package design | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.0350 | 1.27390 |
| Overall Mean | 400 | | | 3.5433 | |

Table: Factors affecting buying decision of over-the-counter drugs

From the above table, it is observed that Pharmacists' opinions are the most influential while buying over-the-counter drugs. It was observed that package design is least important for consumers while buying OTC drugs. Overall mean 3.5 indicates that all the factors moderately influence by 70% on the buying decision of OTC drugs. However, std. deviation values for almost all factors is high (more than 1), which indicates that responses are widely distributed around the mean values. Therefore, more investigation is required to draw conclusions.

Descriptive Statistics of Customers' level of Agreement with promotional activities:

The table given below shows different statements and respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement. This question was asked to know customers degree of agreement regarding promotional activities.

| Customers level of agreement towards | | | | | Std. |
|--|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|
| Promotional Activities | Ν | Min | Max | Mean | Deviation |
| I prefer domestic brands of OTC drugs rather than foreign brand. | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.6825 | .92131 |
| I take into consideration opinions and recommendations from the medicine shop staff when I choose over-the-counter medicines. | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.810 | 1.00070 |
| Package design influences my purchase decisions. | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.077 | 1.18955 |
| I buy over-the-counter medicines of which I have seen or heard in an advertisement. | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.370 | 1.03236 |
| Overall Mean | 400 | | | 3.532 | |

Table: Agreement with promotional activities

Overall mean 3.5 in the table indicates that promotional activities have a positive effect on consumers' perception. However, we cannot neglect high std. deviation values which indicate that responses are widely distributed from the mean values.

Descriptive Statistics- Perception of frequency of promotional through media

The table given below shows different promotional media/public relations which are used for the promotion of pharmaceutical products. The respondents were asked to rate the frequency of the use of these promotional media for the promotion.

| Promotional | | | | | Std. |
|--------------------|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|
| Media | Ν | Min | Max | Mean | Deviation |
| Television | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.1975 | .86334 |
| Newspaper/ | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.8875 | .95242 |
| magazines | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 5.00/5 | .93242 |
| Brochures/ | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.5200 | .99351 |
| pamphlets | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.3200 | .99551 |
| Signboards/ | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.5825 | 1.01527 |
| hoardings | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 5.5825 | 1.01327 |
| Internet | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.1400 | 1.01636 |
| By Medical Camps & | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 2 (775 | 1.00155 |
| exhibitions | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.6775 | 1.09155 |
| Other | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.0800 | 1.22161 |
| Overall mean | | | | 3.7264 | |

Table: Perception of frequency of promotion

Overall mean indicates that consumers perceive that pharmaceutical companies 75.00% use almost all the media for promotion. Again, the high std. deviation values indicate a wide distribution of responses around mean. Therefore, concrete conclusion may not be drawn based only on descriptive statistics.

Descriptive Statistics- Perceived objective of promotion

The table given here shows the objectives of promotional mix plan. The question was asked to know the respondent's response towards the objective of promotional plan of pharmaceutical companies.

| Objectives of | | | | | Std. |
|---------------|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|
| promotion | Ν | Min | Max | Mean | Deviation |
| To inform | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.0700 | .89560 |
| To persuade | 400 | 1.00 | 6.00 | 3.7525 | .82337 |
| To remind | 400 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.7900 | .89325 |
| Valid N | 400 | | | | |

Table: A descriptive statistics of Perceived objective of promotion

The above descriptive statistics show that 78.00% of consumers believe that promotions done by pharmaceutical companies are done to inform them about the drug.

Hypothesis Testing:

Responses administered were sent for the hypothesis testing. The hypothesis was tested by using SPSS. To test the hypothesis one way ANOVA was calculated.

H₀: Buying intention of OTC drugs and perceived importance of promotional mix elements are not significantly related.

H₁: Buying intention of OTC drugs and perceived importance of promotional mix elements are significantly related.

For this hypothesis One way ANOVA is used in SPSS. The result is displayed in the following table.

| Promotional | | Sum of | 10 | Mean | | <i>a</i> . | Significant/ Not Significant |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-----|--------|-------|------------|---------------------------------|
| tools | | Squares | df | Square | F | Sig. | C |
| Advertisement | Between | 23.794 | 4 | 5.948 | 5.629 | .000 | Significant |
| | Groups | 23.794 | 4 | 5.940 | 5.029 | .000 | |
| | Within | 417.396 | 395 | 1.057 | | | |
| | Groups | 417.390 | 595 | 1.037 | | | |
| | Total | 441.190 | 399 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Direct | Between | 16.928 | 4 | 4.232 | 4.258 | .002 | |
| marketing | Groups | 10.928 | 4 | 4.232 | 4.238 | .002 | |
| | Within | 392.582 | 395 | .994 | | | Significant |
| | Groups | 392.382 | 393 | .994 | | | |
| | Total | 409.510 | 399 | | | | |
| Sales promotion | Between | 4.136 | 4 | 1.034 | 1.033 | .390 | |
| | Groups | 4.130 | 4 | 1.034 | 1.055 | .390 | |
| | Within | 205 (14 | 205 | 1.000 | | | Not Significant |
| | Groups | 395.614 | 395 | 1.002 | | | |
| | Total | 399.750 | 399 | | | | |
| Internet | Between | 28 020 | 4 | 9.733 | 0 662 | 000 | |
| marketing | Groups | 38.930 | 4 | 9.733 | 8.663 | .000 | |

| | Within | 443.780 | 395 | 1.123 | | | Significant |
|------------|---------|---------|-----|-------|-------|------|-------------|
| | Groups | | | | | | |
| | Total | 482.710 | 399 | | | | |
| Publicity. | Between | 26.525 | 4 | 6.631 | 6.227 | .000 | |
| | Groups | 20.323 | 4 | 0.031 | 0.227 | .000 | |
| | Within | 420.665 | 395 | 1.065 | | | Significant |
| | Groups | 420.005 | 575 | | | | |
| | Total | 447.190 | 399 | | | | |

Table: Hypothesis Testing- H₁

From the table, it is observed that except for the sales promotion p-value F test for all other promotional mix elements is less than .05. This indicates that the F test value falls in the rejection area under the bell curve of distribution. Therefore, the null hypothesis 'Buying intention of OTC drugs and promotional mix elements are not significantly related' cannot be accepted. Therefore, we accept alternate hypothesis H₁-partially. In other words, it can be said that as the influence of promotional mix elements changes, the buying intention of OTC drugs also changes significantly.

Major Findings:

- It was found that as the influence of promotional mix elements on consumer's changes, their purchase intention of over-the-counter drugs also changes significantly. Here companies need to identify the exact promotional mix element, which has a positive effect on buying intention.
- 2. As the age of the consumer differs, his/ her perception of promotional mix elements also differs significantly except in the case of advertisement and personal selling.

3. Promotional mix elements -sales promotion, Internet Marketing, and publicity-are significantly related to the education of the consumers. That means the mean of these promotional mix elements and mean of education differ from each other significantly.

Suggestions:

Companies should have exclusive promotional mix elements and tools for OTC drugs and prescribed drugs. Because for OTC the influence of promotional mix elements significantly affects re-buying intention.

The demography of potential consumers must be studied well because it significantly affects the perception of promotional mix elements. If the company wants its potential consumers to buy/recall/recommend their products promotional practices also must be designed accordingly. This study only found that there is a significant relationship between demography and perception of promotions.

Conclusion:

Marketing mix strategies include different tools and techniques, which are used by the pharmaceutical companies to increase sales. In the study of the impact of promotional mix strategies on the pharmaceutical company, it was found that as the influence of promotional mix elements on consumers change, their purchase intention of over-the-counter drugs also changes significantly. Here companies need to identify the exact promotional mix element, which has a positive effect on the buying or re-buying intention. As the age of the consumer differs, his/ her perception of promotional mix elements also differs significantly except in the case of advertisement and personal selling. A promotional mix element which includes sales promotion, Internet Marketing, and Publicity are significantly related to the education of the consumers.

That means the mean of these promotional mix elements and mean of education differ from each other significantly.

References:

- 1. Sampson Quain, Business Planning & Strategy, the Advantages of a Fragmented Industry, 09, 2018.
- India Brand Equity Foundation (www.ibef.org), A survey of Indian Pharmaceutical Industry, 2019
- 3. Dr. PrashantAshturkar, Study Of Marketing Communication Strategies For Vaccine And Its Effect On Recommendations By Pediatrician, Abhinav International Monthly Refereed Journal of Research in Management & Technology, Volume 3, Issue 7 (July, 2014), Online ISSN-2320-0073
- Huber, M., Dippold, K. and Forsthofer, R. 2012. Which factors drive product sales in the over the counter markets? International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing, Vol. 6(4), 291-309.
- **5.** Lim, CheeWooi Lim and Kirikoshi, Toru. 2018. Understanding the effects of pharmaceutical promotion: a neural network approach guided by genetic algorithm-partial least squares, Health Care Management Science, Vol. 11(4), 359-372.
- Liang, B. and Mackey, T. 2011. Direct-to-Consumer Advertising with Interactive Internet Media, Journal of the American Medical Association, Vol. 305(8), 824-825.
- Goonull, Fusunn and Carter, Franklin. 2012. Estimation of promotional strategies for newer vs older drugs based on physician prescribing data, International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing, Vol. 6(1), 39-54.
- Liang, B. and Mackey, T. 2011. Direct-to-Consumer Advertising with Interactive Internet Media, Journal of the American Medical Association, Vol. 305(8), 824-825.
- **9.** Wolfe, MJ and Crotts, JC. 2011. Marketing Mix Modeling for the Tourism Industry: A Best Practices Approach, International Journal of Tourism Sciences, Vol. 11(1), 1-15.
- 10. Singh, Ramendra. 2008. Network connectedness of pharmaceutical sales rep (FLE)physician dyad and physician prescription behaviour: A conceptual model, Journal of Medical Marketing, Vol. 8(3), 257-268.

- Manchanda, Puneet, Rossi, Peter E. and ChintaguntaPradeep K. 2004.Response Modeling with Nonrandom Marketing-Mix Variables, Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 41(4), 467-478.
- 12. Orlando Rivero, D.B.A. & John Theodore, 2014, The Importance of Public Relations in Corporate Sustainability, Global Journal of Management and Business Research: B Economics and Commerce Volume 14 Issue 4 Version 1.0 Year 2014, Online ISSN: 2249-4588 & Print ISSN: 0975-5853
- Sachs L. 1989 Misunderstanding as Therapy: Doctors, Patients, and Medicines in a Rural Clinic in Sri Lanka. Cult. Med. Psychiatry 13:335-49.
- 14. PuneetManchanda and Elisabeth Honka, 2013, The Effects and Role of Direct-to-Physician Marketing in the Pharmaceutical Industry: An Integrative Review, Yale Journal of Health Policy, Law, and Ethics, Volume 5 Issue 2 Yale Journal of Health Policy, Law, and Ethics Article 8
- **15.** Amudha and Alamelu (2015), Pharma advertising- Is it deceptive?, Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences, ISSN: 0975-8585, Tamil Nadu, India

----X----