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#### Abstract

Background: Even though there is an increasing trend of breast cancer, women still do not perceive themselves at risk. Poor awareness about the disease and its risk factors and the absence of population based screening contribute to delayed diagnosis. The objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of various risk factors for breast cancer in women aged 30 years and above. Methods: The cross-sectional study using convenience sampling of all women aged 30 years and above was conducted in Mumbai. Of the 2430 women enumerated in the study area, 1158 women participated in the study. Risk factors for breast cancer assessed included age at menarche; age at first child birth; breastfeeding; use of oral contraceptive pills, age of menopause, first degree relatives with history of breast cancer, history of current and past breast cancer; history of any previous breast related abnormalities. Results: $15.5 \%$ of the women had atleast one risk factor for breast cancer. The prevalence of individual risk factors was below 6\%. Conclusions: Prevalence of the risk factors for breast cancer is not very high, but never the less, the increasing trend of breast cancer in the country makes it imperative to introduce population based screening for all women with or without risk factor.
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## INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that the prevalence of breast cancer in India is 92.6/lakh population with a mortality rate of 12.7/lakh population. ${ }^{1}$ Breast Cancer though on the rise in Indian women, is still not perceived by the women as a disease that they are at risk. Women feel that they are unlikely to get breast cancer. Awareness about the disease, its risk factors and the need to undergo breast cancer screening is poor.

Though both men and women can develop breast cancer, women are more at risk of breast cancer. ${ }^{2}$ Age increases
the risk of breast cancer. Most breast cancers develop after the age of 50 years. ${ }^{2}$ However in women, the age at which women get breast cancer is much lower than in developed countries, almost a decade earlier. ${ }^{3-5}$ Early onset of menarche, first live birth at the age of 30 years and above, never giving birth to a child, starting menopause after the age of 55 years, being physical inactive, being obese, having dense breasts, use of combined oral contraceptive pills, personal history of breast cancer, personal history of non-cancerous breast disease, previous radiotherapy treatment, and history of breast cancer in the first degree relatives increases the risk of breast cancer. ${ }^{2}$

There is no country wide programme that offers screening services. ${ }^{6}$ There are few projects which are implemented across India that provide clinical breast examination and mammography services. The facilities for mammogram are available in private but cost is an hindering factor. In addition the awareness about the risk factors for breast cancer and the need to undergo breast cancer screening is poor. ${ }^{7-11}$

The department of Community Medicine is implementing a five year project on breast cancer awareness and screening programme. In this project an attempt is being made to create awareness and motivate women aged 30 years and above to undergo breast cancer screening. The objective of the study was to study the prevalence of various risk factors for breast cancer in women aged 30 years and above.

## METHODS

The cross-sectional study was conducted in an urban area of Maharashtra located in the F/North ward of Mumbai. The study area is a field practice area of the department of Community Medicine, where the department is intensively engaged with 2500 families belonging to the low/middle income group. Majority of the population are Hindu by religion, speak mostly Telugu and Marathi and reside in either slums or low/middle-income group buildings.

The sampling method was convenience sampling of all women aged 30 years and above in the study area. Women fulfilling the age criteria, residing in the study area, and consenting to participate in the study were interviewed by the trained out-reach worker. A pre-tested semi-structured interview tool in local language was developed and pilot tested by the authors. The three outreach workers of the project who had received comprehensive training in breast cancer were also trained for data collection over a period of two half days.

The outreach workers visited the house, and briefed the household members regarding the project. All the women aged 30 years and above were enumerated. Women available at the time of the visit and consenting to participate in the project were interviewed. Subsequent two attempts were made to interview the women not available during initial visit.

In the semi-structured interview tool, information on the demographic variables like age, religion, educational status, occupation, marital status was obtained. Questions pertaining to the assessment of risk factors included age at menarche; age at first child birth; breastfeeding; use of oral contraceptive pills and its duration, age of menopause, first degree relatives with history of breast cancer, history of current and past breast cancer; history of any previous breast related abnormalities and the treatment taken for it. These questions are based on the questions that have been used in the Gail model and

Cuzick Tyrer model for assessment of breast cancer risk. ${ }^{12}$

The data was entered into excel sheet, analysis done with the help of excel functions and is presented here as mean, median and percentages.

Since the risk factor assessment is based on the verbal responses that involve recalling information of several years back, the possibility of memory recall bias cannot be ruled out.

The study was conducted between January 2014 and July 2015. The study being a component of the breast cancer awareness and screening programme that was accorded Institutional Ethics Committee approval, separate ethical committee approval for this research was not obtained

## RESULTS

In the study area, totally 2430 women aged 30 years and above were enumerated by the outreach workers. Of these, 1158 ( $47.7 \%$ ) women participated in the research study. The remaining 1272 women who did not participate in the study, were not contactable due to them being away for work, or their house was found to be locked on several occasions. Less than $5 \%$ of these women refused to participate in the study. Of the 2430 , $89.9 \%$ were Hindu by religion, $8.1 \%$ did not respond and rest of the $2 \%$ population belonged to other religions like Christian, Buddhism or Islam. Percentage of women who were ever married was $95.8 \%$.

The profile of the 1158 women who participated in the study was similar to the overall profile of the women in terms of age, religion and marital status as can be seen from Table 1. The mean age of the participants is 46.54 years ( $95 \%$ CI is $45.89-47.20$ years). The percentage of the study population working outside home or from home was $29.1 \%$ (338). Around $13 \%$ of the women were illiterate; $9.2 \%$ completed 1 to $4^{\text {th }}$ standard; $6.6 \%$ upto $12^{\text {th }}$ standard, and $2.7 \%$ studied beyond $12^{\text {th }}$ standard. The percentage of women who completed studies between 5 to $7^{\text {th }}$ standard was $28.3 \%$ and between 8 to $10^{\text {th }}$ standard was $28.6 \%$.

Amongst the 1158 participants, 1122 (96.9\%) women were married and 36 ( $3.1 \%$ ) were unmarried. Amongst the married women, $661(58.9 \%)$ said that at the time of marriage, their age was below 20 years; 331 ( $29.7 \%$ ) said their age was $20-24$ years; $101(9 \%)$ said their age was 25-29 years of age. Only 21 (1.9\%) women said that their age was 30 years and above at the time of marriage.

The number of married women who reported that they did not have children was 28 (2.5\%). Of the 1094 married women with children, $14.1 \%$ (154) had one child; $39.1 \%$ (428) had two children; $27.2 \%$ ( 298 had three children. Almost $19 \%$ (204) women had more than 4 children. Ten women did not respond to this question.

Table 1: Comparison of study population's profile (age, marital status and religion) with the total population of women in study area.

|  | Total population <br> $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 4 3 0}(\%)$ | Study population <br> $\mathbf{n = 1 1 5 8}(\%)$ | Percentage of the total <br> population recruited for the <br> study in each group (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age profile | $929(38.2)$ | $344(29.7$ | 37.0 |
| $30-39$ years | $724(29.8)$ | $381(32.8)$ | 52.6 |
| $40-49$ years | $422(17.4)$ | $244(21.1)$ | 57.8 |
| $50-59$ years | $211(8.7)$ | $128(11.1)$ | 60.6 |
| $60-69$ years | $136(5.6)$ | $60(5.2)$ | 44.1 |
| 70 years and above | $08(0.3)$ | $01(0.1)$ | 12.5 |
| Don't Know | $2430(100)$ | $1158(100)$ | 47.7 |
| Total |  | $1122(96.9)$ | 48.2 |
| Marital status | $2327(95.8)$ | $36(3.1)$ | -1.8 |
| Ever married | $86(3.5)$ | - | 52.1 |
| Not married | $17(0.7)$ | $1138(98.3)$ | 30.6 |
| No response |  | $15(1.3)$ | 2.5 |
| Religion | $05(0.4)$ |  |  |
| Hindu |  |  |  |
| Others | $2183(89.90)$ |  |  |
| No response | $198(2)$ | $(8.1)$ |  |

The age at first pregnancy was 30 years and above only in $4.4 \%$ women. Almost $80 \%$ of the women had their first child below 30 years of age (Table 2). The mean age at first pregnancy is 21.26 years ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ is 21.02 to 21.49 years). Only $17(1.6 \%)$ women had not breast fed their child. Majority of the women, $97.7 \%$ (1069) had breast fed the child.

Oral contraceptive pills were consumed by 32 women only, of whom 2 women had consumed it for more than five years. Seven women were currently taking oral contraceptive pills. Of them only one was taking for past three years and rest six had just started about a year ago.

Only $3.3 \%$ (38) women had attained menarche at the age of less than 12 years, while $45.6 \%$ (528) had attained menarche at age of 12-13 years. Around 50\% (574) women attained menarche when they were 14 years and above. Eighteen women could not recall their age of menarche. The mean age of menarche is 13.62 years ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ is 13.54 to 13.70 years).

Number of women who were still getting menstruation is 598 (51.6\%). Amongst the women who said their menses had stopped, the age was below 40 years in 63 (11.3\%) women; between 40-49 years in 380 women ( $67.9 \%$ ) and 50 years and above in 97 ( $17.3 \%$ ) women. Twenty women could not recall their age of menopause. The women below 40 years who were not getting menses, majority reported to have had hysterectomy done.

Eight women ( $0.7 \%$ ) reported family history of breast cancer in grandmother (1), mother (4), sister (2) and aunt (1).

Twenty one women ( $1.8 \%$ ) reported to have had a lump in breast. Ten women had a lump less than five years ago and 10 women said it occurred more than 10 years ago. One woman could not recall when she was detected to have a breast lump. Nineteen ( $90.5 \%$ ) women had taken treatment of whom 16 ( $84.2 \%$ ) women had said their problem was resolved. One woman had history of nipple discharge several years back which resolved after treatment.

Table 2: Age at first pregnancy.

| Age groups | No. of women $(\mathbf{n}=1094)(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<\mathbf{2 0}$ years | $366(33.5)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ years | $509(46.5)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ years | $156(14.3)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ and above | $49(4.4)$ |
| No response | $14(1.3)$ |

Two women were in the past diagnosed as breast cancer for whom one had undergone mastectomy and one took chemotherapy.

Table 3 shows the proportion of women with specific risk factors. Amongst the 1158 women who were interviewed, 179 (15.5\%) women had atleast one of the risk factors of breast cancer.

Almost all the women (98\%) were interested in learning more about the breast cancer disease and undergoing clinical breast examination. Majority of the women suggested timing between 10 am to 12 noon ( $54 \%$ ); around $19 \%$ suggested a timing of 12 noon to 2 pm and $14 \%$ suggested an evening time between 5 to 7 pm .

Table 3: Risk Factors for breast cancer among women aged 30 years and above.

| Risk factor | No. of women | Percentage of <br> women (\%) | $95 \%$ confidence <br> interval (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unmarried | $36 / 1158$ | 3.1 | 2.08 to 4.12 |
| Married and not having children | $28 / 1122$ | 2.5 | 1.57 to 3.43 |
| Age at first pregnancy (30 years and <br> above) | $49 / 1094$ | 4.5 | 3.25 to 5.75 |
| Not breastfeeding | $17 / 1094$ | 1.6 | 0.84 to 2.36 |
| Consumed oral contraceptive pills $(>5$ <br> years) | $2 / 1158$ | 0.2 | -2.33 to 14.73 |
| Age of menarche (<12 years) | $38 / 1158$ | 3.3 | 2.25 to 4.35 |
| Family history of breast cancer in <br> grandmother, mother, sister, aunt | $8 / 1158$ | 0.7 | 0.21 to 1.19 |
| History of breast cancer diagnosis in the <br> past | $2 / 1158$ | 0.2 | -0.06 to 0.46 |
| Past history of lump in the breast | $21 / 1158$ | 1.8 | 1.02 to 2.58 |
| Any one risk factor | $179 / 1158$ | 15.5 | 13.37 to 17.63 |

## DISCUSSION

Nearly $48 \%$ (1158) of the women aged 30 years and above participated in the study. Majority of the women who could not be recruited for the study were not available at the time of home visits and less than 5\% refused to participate in the study. Almost $98 \%$ were Hindu by religion. Percentage of ever married women was $97 \%$. Nearly $29 \%$ of the study population was engaged in an income generating activity of whom almost $50 \%$ were working from home. Educational status was poor. Illiteracy rate was around $13 \%$ and only $2.7 \%$ studied beyond $12^{\text {th }}$ standard.

Only 36 (3\%) women were unmarried. The findings are similar to the study conducted by Erbil where $3.9 \%$ were unmarried. ${ }^{13}$ Proportion of married women who did not have children was 28 ( $2.5 \%$ ).

Only in $4.4 \%$ of the women, the age at first pregnancy was 30 years and above. This finding is much lower from the study in Udupi which found that almost $56 \%$ of the women had first pregnancy at age of 30 years and above. ${ }^{14}$ This could be due to the different socio-cultural practices prevailing in the community. In the Turkish study, $7.3 \%$ of the women had given birth to the child at the age 30 years and above. ${ }^{13}$ In a case control study in Kerala they found that $9 \%$ of the women in control group had child after the age of 30 years. ${ }^{15}$

Breastfeeding is common practice in India, and therefore the present study found that only $17(1.6 \%)$ women had not breast fed their child.

Usage of oral contraceptive pills was very low ( $2.8 \%$ ) of whom only 2 women had consumed it for more than five years. These findings are similar to the study conducted in Udupi and Delhi study. ${ }^{14,16}$

Menarche below 12 years of age is a risk factor. However in the present study only $3.3 \%$ (38) women had started their menarche before 12 years of age. These findings are contradictory to the findings reported in Udupi wherein they found that $31 \%$ had menarche before the age of 12 years. ${ }^{14}$ However the Turkish study found only $6.1 \%$ women had attained menarche between 7-11 years of age. ${ }^{13}$

Eight women ( $0.7 \%$ ) reported family history of breast cancer in grandmother (1), mother (4), sister (2) and aunt (1). In the Udupi study the women did not report family history of breast cancer. ${ }^{14}$ Whereas other studies have reported $1 \%$ to $6 \%$ women having family history of breast cancer in first degree relatives. ${ }^{13,15,16}$

In the present study 21 women (1.8\%) reported lump in breast in the past. In the Turkish study proportion of women having biopsy was reported as $9 \% .^{13}$ The community based study in Udupi reported that none of the women had breast biopsy. ${ }^{14}$

The study in Udupi did not find any women diagnosed with breast cancer in the past. In the present study, however, we found two women who were diagnosed with breast cancer; around 5 and 25 years back. $16 \%$ of the women in the present study had atleast one risk factors. This figure is much lower than $79 \%$ reported in the Udupi study. ${ }^{14}$
$98 \%$ of the women were interested in undergoing clinical breast examination and were keen to learn more about breast cancer. The timings suitable for awareness programmes were also suggested by the community.
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